

Claim 15. (New) An arrow rest of claim 14 wherein the elliptical shaped ring further comprises a front and rear surface, said front surface having a notch or resting point for the arrow at the top of the elliptical shaped ring and said rear surface having a notch or resting point for the arrow at the bottom of the elliptical shaped ring.

REMARKS

By way of procedural history, this response is to the Examiner's first Office action mailed July 13, 2004. Prior to this Response, claims 1-11 were pending in the application. Claims 1-3 have been accepted by the Examiner. Claims 4-6 have been rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Claims 7-11 have been rejected by the Examiner. In the amendment above, claims 7-11 have been canceled and new claims 12, 13, 14 and 15 added. Accordingly, claims 1-6 and 12-15 are now pending in the application.

I DRAWINGS

Applicant acknowledges the Examiner's comment that the elliptical ring must be shown because the drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Applicant has responds to this objection by way of amendment above and resubmitted corrected Figure 5 and Figure 6, and submits a new Figure 7. The corrected Figure 5 and Figure 6, and new Figure 7 add no new matter to the application and serve to show the elliptical ring of claims 3 and 6, and new claims 14 and 15.

II THE ABSTRACT

A substitute Abstract of the disclosure has been provided with this amendment and is believed to be a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and includes that which is considered new in the art to which the invention pertains.

III REJECTED CLAIMS 4-6 (35 U.S.C. §112)

Claims 4-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 4 has been amended to clarify the confusion by deleting the phrase "resilient means" and inserting the phrase "resistant means" to show the invention.

IV REJECTED CLAIM 9 (37 U.S.C. 1.75)

Claim 9 was rejected under 37 U.S.C. 1.75 as being in improper dependant form. Claim 9 has been canceled.

V REJECTED CLAIMS 7, 8, 10 & 11 (35 U.S.C. §102(e))

Claims 7, 8, 10 and 11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Pinto, U.S. Patent No. 6,557,541. Claims 7, 8, 10 and 11 have been canceled.

New claims 12, 13, 14 and 15 describe the invention and the prior art does not disclose any element thereof.

VI FEES

No additional fees for claims are due as a result of this amendment.

Attorneys for Applicant have authorized payment of any fees from their deposit account.

VII CONCLUSION

Based on the above amendments and remarks, Applicant believes this case is in condition for allowance and, therefore, a prompt Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited. Applicant invites the assigned Examiner to contact the undersigned attorney to discuss the merits of this Response prior to the preparation and mailing of the next Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,




Dante C. Rohr, Esq.
USPTO Reg. No. 50,659
MATTIONI, LTD.
399 Market Street, 2nd Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19106
(215) 629-1600

Date: October 12, 2004

Certificate of Mailing and Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this Response to the Office Action dated July 13, 2004 is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Box RCE, Washington, D.C. 20231, and being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office Fax No. (703) 872-9306 on October 12, 2004



Date: October 12, 2004

Dante C. Rohr
Attorney for Applicant